Karl Marx and Louis Althusser

By Ben Brewster

I. Spinoza and Marx First

Organic wholes, parts and phases and conjunctures and contradictions and conflicts and determined and underdetermined and overdetermined, even uneven and conflictual unity and individuals as well all organised into a reflection into itself as a complex Spinozan whole which then is contradictions which merge into unities and fuse and then becomes complex reflections agan of a conjuncture.

Sartre comments – instead Brewster should call this a conjuncture and balance of class forces and reflect into that all these Spinozan wholes. I mean it is like a man who is determined by class forces and then setting off a strike which is covered everywhere nationally I propose.

II. Louis Althusser

Conjuncture, material forces – now Althusser means to cover the history as also movements around this.

I also mean Sartre should be read in this fashion somehow.

III. Materialism and Empirico-Criticism by Lenin, Trotsky's Insurrection line

I mean be a materialist as Lenin argues, in that criticism of empirical tendencies and towards material level of categories especially always be that level of abstraction called materialist.

Sartre comments – I mean then to be covered as a Marxist, but I am a Hegelian idealist as well. We need this work to be seen in light of Sirohi who then accomplishes this at once – and means to be covered recently this way.

I mean see him as that praxis type Trotskyist who is a militant in a forcing.

IV. Sirohi, a Prophetic Person in the Future

I mean Sartre first, then Althusser’s interventions and this changed to a perspective in journalism to just match it all then to a praxis format of class balances and cover this as Red Book following which becomes then in fact – jottings, and writings which cover then as expansive it seems Sartrean jargon which should be shifted to materialist writings like Jairus Banaji and seen that way.